

## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO. FILING DATE		FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO	
10/025,473	}	12/26/2001	Yoshiyuki Miyamoto	NE246-US	NE246-US 2990	
466	7590	07/01/2004		EXAMINER		
	G & THON	MPSON STREET 2ND FLOO	KOPEC, MARK T			
	STON, VA			ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER		
				1751		
				DATE MAILED: 07/01/2004		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

					( )			
		Application	No.	Applicant(s)	47,			
		10/025,473		MIYAMOTO, YOSHIYUKI	V			
	Office Action Summary	Examiner		Art Unit				
		Mark Kopec		1751				
	The MAILING DATE of this communication app	ears on the c	over sheet with the c	orrespondence address				
Period for	RTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY	V IS SET TO	EVDIDE 2 MONTH(	S) EDOM				
THE M Extensi after SI - If the po - If NO p - Failure Any rep	AILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. ons of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 X (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. ariod for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply eriod for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, ly received by the Office later than three months after the mailing patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	36(a). In no event, y within the statutor will apply and will example the applica	however, may a reply be tim ry minimum of thirty (30) days xpire SIX (6) MONTHS from t tion to become ABANDONET	ely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. O (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status								
1)∐ F	Responsive to communication(s) filed on	<u>_</u> .						
2a) <u></u> ⊤	This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b)⊠ This	action is non	-final.					
,—	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is							
С	losed in accordance with the practice under E	Ex parte Quay	de, 1935 C.D. 11, 45	3 O.G. 213.				
Dispositio	n of Claims							
4)⊠ C	Claim(s) 1-8 is/are pending in the application.							
4:	a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw	wn from cons	ideration.					
·	Claim(s) is/are allowed.							
·	Claim(s) <u>1-8</u> is/are rejected.							
•	Claim(s) is/are objected to.							
8)[] (	Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	r election req	uirement.					
Applicatio	n Papers							
•	he specification is objected to by the Examine							
•	he drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acce							
	applicant may not request that any objection to the				١			
	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correcti he oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	-			).			
	,	Carmillor. 140to	the attached office	7.00.017.07.101117.1.02.102.				
Priority un	der 35 U.S.C. § 119							
12)∏ A	cknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign	priority unde	r 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)	-(d) or (f).				
a)[_								
	. Certified copies of the priority documents							
	Certified copies of the priority documents							
3	Copies of the certified copies of the prior application from the International Bureau	-		ed in this National Stage				
* Se	ee the attached detailed Office action for a list	•	,	d				
	o the diagnost detailed embe determent a list		a doplos not receive	u.				
Attachment(s	5)							
	of References Cited (PTO-892)	4	Interview Summary					
	of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) ation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)	5	Paper No(s)/Mail Da ) Notice of Informal Pa	ate atent Application (PTO-152)				
	No(s)/Mail Date		)	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •				
S. Patent and Trac	tomark Office							

Art Unit: 1751

This action is responsive to applicant's remarks filed 4/8/04. Claims 1-8 are currently pending.

The text of those sections of Title 35, U.S. Code not included in this action can be found in a prior Office action.

The rejection under 35 U.S.C. 101 (utility) is withdrawn in view of applicant's remarks.

Claims 1-8 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as containing subject matter which was not described in the specification in such a way as to enable one skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and/or use the invention.

The specification does not enable one of ordinary skill in the art to make or use a superconductor comprising C20 fullerene molecules polymerized into a one-dimensional chain (or methods of making such materials), in that it would require undue experimentation to do so.

The quantum of proof required to establish enablement is inextricably linked with the degree of unpredictability of the relevant art. See MPEP 2164.03.

The art of high temperature (above 30K) superconductors is an extremely unpredictable one. Small changes in composition can result in dramatic changes in or loss of superconducting properties. The amount and type of examples necessary to

Art Unit: 1751

support broad claims increases as the predictability of the art decreases. See <u>In re Fisher</u>, 166 USPQ 18, 24 and <u>In re Angstadt and Griffen</u>, 190 USPQ 214, 218. Claims broad enough to cover a large number of compositions that do not exhibit the desired properties fail to satisfy the requirements of 35 USC 112. See <u>In re Cook</u>, 169 USPQ 298, 302 and <u>Cosden Oil v. American Hoechst</u>, 214 USPQ 244, 262. Merely reciting a desired result does not overcome this failure. <u>In re Corkill</u>, 226 USPQ 1005, 1009.

In the instant specification, applicant has not specifically disclosed any conclusive evidence that the claimed materials have been produced (or methods of making such materials). Applicant alludes to critical temperatures above 180K (page 6, Fig 3), but no "hard data" has been provided (actual temperature vs. resistivity plots, photomicrographs, etc) to support applicant's contention of such incredible superconductive properties. In fact, no inventive examples appear in the specification. It is unclear if applicant has produced C20 fullerene molecules polymerized into a one-dimensional chain, or if such is only a theoretical discussion. At page 6 of the specification, applicant states:

Art Unit: 1751

Therefore, the transition temperature of  $C_{20}$  Fullerene molecule is  $e^{3/2}$  times (about 4.5 times) higher than the superconducting transition temperature of  $C_{60}$  Fullerene molecule (40 K) and can be expected to be as large as 180 K which is comparable to a transition temperature of a high-temperature superconducting material.

In view of the above-described consideration, Figure 3 shows the simulated results of a change in electric resistance by temperature. The resistance values are not absolute values and are normalized such that the resistance at room temperature is 1. According to the first-principle calculation, when C<sub>20</sub> Fullerene molecules are polymerized in a three-dimensional manner, they undergo phase transition and relax from a closed cage structure to an open structure. Since such phase transition weakens the electron-lattice interaction, three-dimensional polymerization has to be avoided.

It appears from this description (of simulated results) that applicant has not actually produced/tested the claimed materials.

It should be noted that at the time the invention was made, the theoretical mechanism of superconductivity in these materials was not well understood. (This is still the case today). Accordingly, there appears to be little factual or theoretical basis for extending the scope of the claims much beyond the proportions and materials actually demonstrated to exhibit high temperature superconductivity. A "patent is not a hunting license. It is not a reward for the search, but a

Art Unit: 1751

reward for its successful conclusion", <u>Brenner v. Manson</u>, 383 US 519, 148 USPQ 689.

As discussed by Professor Chu in a lecture at the Patent and Trademark Office in October 7, 1987, there are generally four measures of superconductivity: (1) zero resistivity, (2) exhibition of the Meissner effect, (3) stability to survive thermal cycling and (4) reproducibility. In the absence of zero resistivity and evidence from the Meissner effect, the sharp resistance drops may be taken only as an indication of the possible existence of superconductivity at unusually high temperatures. Resistivity drops alone are dangerous measure of superconductivity because the drops could be related to problems with testing techniques. Therefore, the current state of the superconducting art suggests that at least zero resistivity at a reproducible temperature which also shows the Meissner effect is the minimum showing necessary to claim that applicant has produced a material which exhibits superconductivity at very high temperatures.

It is believed that the above rejection addresses each of applicant's comments regarding the 112, first paragraph, enablement rejection.

In order to overcome the above rejection, applicant should provide conclusive evidence that the claimed materials (produced

Art Unit: 1751

according to the specification disclosure) posses superconductive properties. See 37 CFR 1.93 and MPEP 608.03.

Applicant is reminded that any evidence to be presented in accordance with 37 C.F.R. 1.131 or 1.132 should be submitted before final rejection in order to be considered timely.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Mark Kopec whose telephone number is (571) 272-1319. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday - Friday from 9:30 AM to 6:00 PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Dr. Yogendra Gupta can be reached on (571) 272-1316. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Art Unit: 1751

Page 7

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Mark Kopec Primary Examiner Art Unit 1751

MK June 29, 2004